

EXAMEN COMMUN D'ENTREE EN PREMIERE ANNEE

EPREUVE D'ANGLAIS

SAMEDI 22 AVRIL 2023

14h00 à 17h00

(durée conseillée : 1h00)

coeff. 1.5

Ce sujet est composé de 3 pages

Il est demandé aux candidats de répondre directement sur leur copie en indiquant clairement les numéros des exercices. [Aucun document autorisé] If we don't defend free speech, we live in tyranny: Salman Rushdie shows us that Margaret Atwood, The Guardian, 19 August 2022

In any future monument to murdered, tortured, imprisoned and persecuted writers, Salman Rushdie will feature large. On 12 August, he was stabbed on stage by an assailant at a literary event (...). Without doubt, this attack was directed at him because his fourth novel, *The Satanic Verses (...) got used as a*

- 5 tool in a political power struggle in a distant country. When your regime is under pressure, a little book-burning creates a popular distraction. Writers (...) make cheap scapegoats. They're so easy to blame: their medium is words, which are by nature ambiguous and subject to misinterpretation, and they themselves are often mouthy. Worse, they frequently
- 10 speak truth to power. Even apart from that, their books will annoy some people. As writers themselves have frequently said, if what you've written is universally liked, you must be doing something wrong. But when you offend a ruler, things can get lethal, as many writers have discovered.

In Rushdie's case, the power that used him as a pawn was the Ayatollah

- 15 Khomeini of Iran. In 1989, he issued a fatwa [against him] (...). Khomeini also offered a large reward to anyone who would murder Rushdie. Rushdie spent many years in enforced hiding, but gradually he came out of his cocoon (...) He never missed an opportunity to speak out on behalf of the principles he'd been embodying all his writing life. Freedom of expression was foremost among
- 20 these. Once a yawn-making liberal platitude, this concept has now become a hotbutton issue, since the extreme right has attempted to kidnap it in the service of libel, lies and hatred, and the extreme left has tried to toss it out the window in the service of its version of earthly perfection. (...) But whatever it is, the right to freedom of expression does not include the right to defame, to lie maliciously and
- damagingly about provable facts, to issue death threats, or to advocate murder.These should be punished by law.

(...) Living in a pluralistic democracy means being surrounded by a multiplicity of voices, some of which will be saying things you don't like. Unless you're prepared to uphold their right to speak, as Salman Rushdie has done so often, you'll end

30 up living in a tyranny.

Questions

I.Compréhension écrite: (6 points)

In your own words, answer the following questions about the text using complete sentences (concise answers are expected):

1. According to Margaret Atwood, why does artistic free speech matter in a democracy? (1pt)

2. Why are writers often targeted by authoritarian regimes? (1 pt)

3. Does Margaret Atwood support unlimited artistic freedom of expression? Justify your answer. (2pts)

4. What does Atwood mean by "If what you've written is universally liked, you must be doing something wrong." (paragraph 2)? (1pt)

5. What is the meaning of the expression "used him as a pawn" (paragraph 3)? (1pt)

II. Expression écrite : (14 points)

Write an essay on the following topic (300 words , +/- 10 %). Does free speech include the right to offend other people? Feel free to give examples from other countries or other historical periods.

STAGES PRÉPA SCIENCES PO

INTEGREZ LES MEILLEURS IEP

- Préparation complète avec coaching individuel
- Préparation aux oraux
- Formules de préparation modulables
- Examen blanc corigée

Stage de préparation Sciences Po





STAGES PRÉPA SCIENCES PO EN LIGNE

- Petits effectifs en ligne
- Retours personnalisés sur la préparation Sciences Po
- Les annales ciblées et pertinentes

Stage en ligne préparation Sciences Po